

**Governments spend a lot of money on wildlife protection instead of starting new projects. Is this a positive or a negative trend? Give your opinion and examples from your experience.**

Nowadays government splash out for conserving animals' habitats and caring for wild animals. While addressing new projects s and introduction of new activities might be suitable for countries , I strongly believe that these expenses must not be covered by payments s for protecting wild animals.

There are several reasons which demonstrate why governments s must not pay too much money for starting new projects end. firstly there is room for doubt if these projects end up with success. Secondly these projects s are more likely to be capital and labor extensive, so ~~So~~ this is better for governments s to encourage appoint private companies to for investing in these new economic activities. Finally ~~this~~ it is arguable argued ~~that~~ if it is suitable for regular/ordinary people. The effect of these new projects s on people are-is ambiguous .for instance, ~~the~~ space exploring activities have-has no significant influence on individuals and must be paid from extra budgets s.

On the other hand, protecting animals and their living place is really important no matter how much money Must be paid by the government for this issue. First of all, there is a direct relationship between protecting Wild life and maintaining the environment and earth. While there is no concern for conserving wild life , lots of trees are cut down for construction and industrialization . furthermore , there is no right for humans s to destroy animal life while observing animal right is one of the symbols of civilization and culture .for example in developed countries any animal harm is punished

severely. In these countries animal right must be observed in the same way as same as human right. Moreover, any interference in on the food chain in nature could pose serious pressing difficulties for the human bodybodies. In light of this issue, over fishing could be highlighted which leads to running out of ran-out-of fish in near future . At the end ,there are some endangred animal species of animals which are endangered-of being extincted, so -- so the government must consider these issues and pay lots-of-a great deal of/sufficient money to pave the way for protecting animals.

In conclusion, although the government must do much more to provide for providing a better situation/condition for its people by new projects, the private sector involvement could be a great boon in this regard. there must not be overlapped with expenses which are allocated for protecting animals.